

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,315	05	5/07/2001	Joseph J. Solon	4025	8680
7	7590	12/17/2002			
Laurence R. l			EXAMINER		
7412 Spring V Apt 204	'illage Dri	ive	THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
Springfield, V	A 22150)			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1772	, ,
				DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002	//

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A S.11					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/849,315	SOLON, JOSEPH J.					
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit					
_	Alexander S. Thomas	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) Mean the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 11 and 17 raise new issues.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 3,12 and 16 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-8,12 and 16</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,9-11,13-15,17 and 24</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>18-23</u> .							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. \ Other: see attached PTO-948 Collegardy S. Merra							
	AL	EXANDER S. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER					

Ň.



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Miller discloses stacking the strips in a flat configuration (Figures 4-6). Pignataro discloses that it is old in the art to remove the sidewalls from tires before stacking so that the tread may be eventually processed into a building component. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to remove the sidewalls from the tread in the article of the primary reference in view of the teaching of Pignataro depending on the desired final article that is to be made from the treads and if extra processing steps and expense could be tolerated.